EGSIEM

European Gravity Service for Improved Emergency Management

EGSIEM combination of GRACE monthly gravity fields

U. Meyer[1], Y. Jean[1], A. Jägi[1], A. Susnik[1], D. Arnold[1], Ch. Dahle[2], T. Mayer-Gürr[3], J.-M. Lemoine[4]

[1] Astronomical Institute, University of Bern
[2] Deutsches GeoForschungsZentrum
[3] Institute for Theoretical and Satellite Geodesy, TU Graz
[4] Groupe de Recherche de Géodésie Spatiale, Toulouse

GRACE Science Team Meeting 2016

GFZ Potsdam
October 5-7, 2016

UNIVERSITÄT BERN · UNIVERSITÉ DU LUXEMBOURG · GFZ Helmholtz Centre Potsdam · TU Graz Graz University of Technology · Leibniz Universität Hannover · cnes · DLR · géode&cie · Horizon2020

EGSIEM Project – Three services shall be established



Scientific Combination Service



- **Only one product for the user**
- **Reduced noise**

Scientific Combination Service

- The EGSIEM combination service provides monthly GRACE K-band gravity fields combined on solution / normal equation (NEQ) Level.
- To ensure consistency, a set of common standards for reference frame, Earth rotation, force model and satellite geometry were defined.
- EGSIEM lately was extended to also include SLR and GPS-only NEQs.

Why combine results based on the same observations?
Errors in GRACE monthly gravity fields are still dominated by analysis and background model noise, not observation noise!

EGSIEM Standards

- Reference frame: reprocessed GPS-constellations and high-rate clock corrections.
- Earth rotation: IERS 2010
- Force model:
  - relativistic corrections (Schwarzschild, Lense-Thirring, de Sitter)
  - Sun and all planets as point masses
- Satellite geometry: common antenna reference points

Individual Contributions: AIUB



- **AIUB:** Celestial mechanics approach (dynamic approach relying on frequent pseudo-stochastic accelerations)
  - **approx. 500000 KRR observations and**
  - **500000 kinematic positions (30s) / month**

Individual Contributions: ITSG



- **ITSG:** originally short arc approach, empirical noise model
  - **approx. 500000 KRR observations and**
  - **50000 kinematic positions (300s) / month**

Individual Contributions: GFZ



- **GFZ:** dynamic approach, dense accelerometer parametrization
  - **approx. 500000 KRR observations and**
  - **> 2500000 GPS observations (30s) / month**

Individual Contributions: GRGS



- **GRGS:** magic approach … but we got free (unconstrained) normal equations and solutions for combination!
  - **approx. 500000 KRR observations**
  - **500000 kinematic positions (30s) / month**

Individual Contributions: U Lux



- **U Lux:** acceleration approach, still under development …

Formal errors: 2006/01





Contains main part of signal





Combination on Solution Level

- Comparison of individual contributions
  - **Signal content: river basins, Greenland, west Antarctica**



Combination on Solution Level

- Comparison of individual contributions
  - **Signal content: river basins, Greenland, west Antarctica**
  - **Noise level: weighted STD of anomalies (with respect to model: bias + trend + annual + semian.) over the oceans**



Combination on Solution Level

- Comparison of individual contributions
  - **Signal content: river basins, Greenland, west Antarctica**
  - **Noise level: weighted STD of anomalies (with respect to model: bias + trend + annual + semian.) over the oceans**
- Rejection of biased series of gravity fields (regularized, pre-filtered)
- Screening of noisy monthly gravity fields
- Relative weights based on comparison to mean
- Iteration using Variance Component Estimation

Combination on Normal Equation Level

- To fully take into account **correlations** between gravity field, orbit, instrument and stochastic parameters, solutions have to be combined on normal equation level.
- **But:** different noise models make combination difficult. Relative weighting by variance factors is not possible!

$$W = S_0^2 * DOF / v^T P v$$

Combination: 2006/01



	AIUB	GFZ	ITSG	COMB sol	COMB F * NEQ	COMB NEQ	COMB w * NEQ
weight	0.45	0.22	0.33				
wSTD	7.7 mm	9.6 mm	4.7 mm				

Combination: 2006/01



	AIUB	GFZ	ITSG	COMB sol	COMB F * NEQ	COMB NEQ	COMB w * NEQ
weight	0.45	0.22	0.33				
wSTD	7.7 mm	9.6 mm	4.7 mm	5.8 mm			

Combination: 2006/01



	AIUB	GFZ	ITSG	COMB sol	COMB F * NEQ	COMB NEQ	COMB w * NEQ
weight	0.45	0.22	0.33				
wSTD	7.7 mm	9.6 mm	4.7 mm	5.8 mm	6.6 mm		

Individual contributions (variance factors): 2006/01









Individual contributions (equalized): 2006/01









Combination: 2006/01



	AIUB	GFZ	ITSG	COMB sol	COMB F * NEQ	COMB NEQ	COMB w * NEQ
weight	0.45	0.22	0.33				
wSTD	7.7 mm	9.6 mm	4.7 mm	5.8 mm	6.6 mm	5.9 mm	

Combination: 2006/01



	AIUB	GFZ	ITSG	COMB sol	COMB F * NEQ	COMB NEQ	COMB w * NEQ
weight	0.45	0.22	0.33				
wSTD	7.7 mm	9.6 mm	4.7 mm	5.8 mm	6.6 mm	5.9 mm	5.7 mm

Combination: 2006/01



	AIUB	GFZ	ITSG	COMB sol	COMB w * NEQ
weight	0.45	0.22	0.33		
wSTD	7.7 mm	9.6 mm	4.7 mm	5.8 mm	5.7 mm

Combination: 2006/02



NEQ-combination is less sensitive to crap in an individual contribution.

	AIUB	GFZ	ITSG	COMB sol	COMB w * NEQ
weight	0.45	0.23	0.32		
wSTD	8.0 mm	9.8 mm	4.5 mm	5.9 mm	6.0 mm

Combination: 2006/03



When one contribution is dominant, the NEQ-combination is close to the best contribution.

	AIUB	GFZ	ITSG	COMB sol	COMB w * NEQ
weight	0.45	0.24	0.31		
wSTD	7.8 mm	9.6 mm	4.3 mm	5.9 mm	5.7 mm

Combination: 2006/04



	AIUB	GFZ	ITSG	COMB sol	COMB w * NEQ
weight	0.36	0.22	0.32		
wSTD	6.4 mm	7.7 mm	4.2 mm	4.3 mm	4.3 mm

Combination: 2006/05



When several contributions are comparably good, the combination is better!

	AIUB	GFZ	ITSG	COMB sol	COMB w * NEQ
weight	0.40	0.25	0.35		
wSTD	7.1 mm	7.5 mm	4.0 mm	5.0 mm	4.6 mm

Combination: 2006/06



	AIUB	GFZ	ITSG	COMB sol	COMB w * NEQ
weight	0.34	0.27	0.339		
wSTD	7.3 mm	7.5 mm	4.6 mm	5.0 mm	4.6 mm

Combination including GRGS: 2006/01



l_{max} = 80	AIUB	GFZ	ITSG	GRGS	COMB solution	COMB F * NEQ	COMB NEQ	COMB w * NEQ
weight	0.29	0.19	0.38	0.14				
wSTD	5.6 mm	6.6 mm	3.5 mm	6.4 mm				

Combination including GRGS: 2006/01



l_{max} = 80	AIUB	GFZ	ITSG	GRGS	COMB solution	COMB F * NEQ	COMB NEQ	COMB w * NEQ
weight	0.29	0.19	0.38	0.14				
wSTD	5.6 mm	6.6 mm	3.5 mm	6.4 mm	3.9 mm			

Combination including GRGS: 2006/01



l_{max} = 80	AIUB	GFZ	ITSG	GRGS	COMB solution	COMB w * NEQ
weight	0.29	0.19	0.38	0.14		
wSTD	5.6 mm	6.6 mm	3.5 mm	6.4 mm	3.9 mm	3.9 mm

Combination including GRGS: 2006/02



l_{max} = 80	AIUB	GFZ	ITSG	GRGS	COMB solution	COMB w * NEQ
weight	0.36	0.21	0.27	0.16		
wSTD	5.6 mm	6.3 mm	3.4 mm	5.4 mm	4.1 mm	3.9 mm

Combination including GRGS: 2006/03



l_{max} = 80	AIUB	GFZ	ITSG	GRGS	COMB solution	COMB w * NEQ
weight	0.37	0.22	0.27	0.14		
wSTD	5.3 mm	6.5 mm	3.4 mm	6.1 mm	4.0 mm	4.0 mm

Combination including GRGS: 2006/04



l_{max} = 80	AIUB	GFZ	ITSG	GRGS	COMB solution	COMB w * NEQ
weight	0.37	0.22	0.29	0.12		
wSTD	4.4 mm	5.2 mm	3.2 mm	5.3 mm	3.3 mm	3.4 mm

Combination including GRGS: 2006/05



l_{max} = 80	AIUB	GFZ	ITSG	GRGS	COMB solution	COMB w * NEQ
weight	0.27	0.26	0.31	0.16		
wSTD	5.0 mm	5.2 mm	3.2 mm	4.9 mm	3.5 mm	3.2 mm

Combination including GRGS: 2006/06



l_{max} = 80	AIUB	GFZ	ITSG	GRGS	COMB solution	COMB w * NEQ
weight	0.30	0.26	0.30	0.14		
wSTD	5.0 mm	5.2 mm	3.4 mm	5.4 mm	3.6 mm	3.4 mm

Combination including GRGS: 2006/10



Combination is statistics, not magic -> screening (or improve individual contributions!!)

l_{max} = 80	AIUB	GFZ	ITSG	GRGS	COMB solution	COMB w * NEQ
weight	0.25	0.29	0.40	0.06		
wSTD	6.3 mm	6.1 mm	3.2 mm	10.0 mm	4.0 mm	3.8 mm

Conclusions

- The EGSIEM combination service is close to operational (Level-3-grids and GA…-products are still missing).
- Careful validation and screening of individual contributions => combinations are
  - robust
  - reliable
- Combined monthly gravity fields reach level of best individual contributions or outperform them.
- Combination on NEQ-level seems to be more robust against problems in individual contributions.